proposed judgment and any objections thereto, all of which were granted. The parties did so because during this time period, up to the present date, the parties

28

were diligently working out a final resolution of this matter, which has been accomplished. This resolution was contingent upon a judgment not being entered against defendants, which is why the parties requested that the date for filing a proposed judgment be continued multiple times.

The parties had contemplated that the settlement would be accomplished and a joint motion for dismissal filed prior to the May 20, 2015 date by which the proposed judgment was due. Unfortunately, the final resolution took a few weeks longer than the parties contemplated, and Plaintiffs neglected to file a further request to continue the date for filing a proposed judgment, or a proposed judgment. This was an error. Although working toward a final resolution of this matter, Plaintiffs' counsel forgot about the May 20, 2015 date, and thus neglected to file a further joint motion to extend the due date, or a proposed judgment. Plaintiffs' counsel apologize to the Court for this oversight.

As mentioned, the parties have accomplished a final settlement of this case, and a joint motion for dismissal is being filed concurrently with this statement.

Dated: June 11, 2015 Respectfully submitted,

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

/s/ Michael R. Marrinan
Attorney for Plaintiffs Travis Wilkerson and Victoria Garcia
E-mail: mrmarrinan@aol.com

Dated: June 11, 2015

/s/ Joseph M. McMullen Attorney for Plaintiff Andrew Harlin E-mail: joe@jmm-legal.com

22 23